M. Math Exam

Question 1

For a fixed j, we need to find $P_{ij} = P(X_{n+1} = i | X_n = j)$ for all i. We have $P(X_n = j + 1 | X_{n-1} = j) = p, P(X_n = 0 | X_{n-1} = j) = p$ and $P(X_n = k | X_{n-1} = j) = 0$ for all other values of k.

If T_0 denotes the time of return to zero starting from 0, we have that $T_0 = k$ occurs when we have all successes in the first k-1 tosses and the k^{th} toss is a failure. Therefore $P_0(T_0 = k) = p^{k-1}(1-p)$, for $k \ge 1$, which is a Geometric distribution with parameter p. This implies that $P_1(T_1 < \infty) = 1$. So by Proposition 6.3.5 of Athreya and Sunder 2008, we have that 0 is recurrent.

Also, from 0 it is possible to reach any state $k \ge 1$ with positive probability and therefore the Markov chain is irreducible. Thus all states are recurrent.

Question 2

(i) If y = x, then we have by Proposition 6.3.14 of Athreya and Sunder 2008 that x is transient and $\sum_{n} P_{xx}^{(n)} < \infty$. Here $P_{xx}^{(n)} = P_0(X_n = x)$. But we also have

$$\sum_{n} P_{xx}^{(n)} = \sum_{n} E_x(\mathbf{1}(X_n = x)) = E_x \sum_{n} \mathbf{1}(X_n = x)$$
(1)

and the final term is the expected number of visits to x starting from x. In the above 1(.) refers to the indicator function. Using Fubini's theorem gives the necessary interchange.

If $y \neq x$, and suppose there is a positive probability of eventually reaching y from x. Starting from y, we then again have expected finite number of visits to y. Thus again $G(x, y) < \infty$ in this case.

Finally, if $y \neq x$, and suppose there is zero probability of reaching y from x, we have G(x, y) = 0 in this case.

(ii) As in (1), we have

$$G(x,y) = \sum_{n} P_{xy}^{(n)}.$$

Since $G(x, y) < \infty$, we have $\lim_{n} P_{xy}^{(n)} = 0$. (iii) Let $x_1, ..., x_t$ be the states of the Markov chain and suppose they are all transient. We then have

$$\lim_{n} P_{x_{1},x_{i}}^{(n)} = 0 \text{ for all } i.$$
(2)

But $\sum_{i=1}^{t} P_{x_1,x_i}^{(n)} = 1$ since starting from x_1 , the Markov chain must be in one of the *t* states at time *n*. Allowing $n \to \infty$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{x_1,x_i}^{(n)} = 1$ and here we interchange limits since the sum is finite. But thus contradicts (2).

Question 3

(i) We have $P_{ij} = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i)$ and $P_{ij}^2 = \sum_k P_{ik} P_{kj}$. Also,

$$P(X_{2n+2} = j | X_{2n} = i)$$

$$= \sum_{k} P(X_{2n+2} = j | X_{2n+1} = k, X_{2n} = i) P(X_{2n+1} = k | X_{2n} = i)$$

$$= \sum_{k} P(X_{2n+2} = j | X_{2n+1} = k) P(X_{2n+1} = k | X_{2n} = i)$$
(3)
$$= \sum_{k} P_{ik} P_{kj}$$

where (3) is due to the Markovian nature. Thus P_{ij}^2 is the transition probability matrix for $\{X_{2n}\}_n$.

(ii) Let t be the number of states. Since π is a stationary distribution, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \pi(i) P_{ij} = \pi(j) \text{ for all } i, j.$$
(4)

Using this we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} \pi(i) P_{ij}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \pi(i) \sum_{k=1}^{t} P_{ik} P_{kj}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \pi(i) P_{ik} P_{kj}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{t} \pi(k) P_{kj} = \pi(j)$$

Thus π is also a stationary distribution for P^2 .

(iii) No. Take state space to be $\{0,1\}$, $P(X_{n+1} = 1|X_n = 0) = 1$ and $P(X_{n+1} = 0|X_n = 1) = 1$. Starting from 0, the Markov chain oscillates between 0 and 1 at alternate times. Here $\pi = (1,0)$ is a stationary distribution for P^2 but not for P.

Question 4

(i) We condition on the first step X_1 , to get

$$P_x(T_y = n+1) = \sum_{z \neq y} P_x(T_y = n+1 | X_1 = z) P_x(X_1 = z) = \sum_{z \neq y} P_z(T_y = n) P_{xz}$$
(5)

For the second equality we argue this way: if in the first step we reach $z \neq y$, then *n* time units are needed to reach *y* from *z*. From Markov property the equation then follows.

(ii) We have

$$\rho_{xy} = P_x(T_y < \infty) = P_x(T_y = 1) + \sum_{k \ge 2} P_x(T_y = k) = P_{xy} + \sum_{k \ge 2} P_x(T_y = k).$$

For the second term we proceed as follows. Summing (5) over $n \ge 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} P_x(T_y = n+1) = \sum_{z \ne y} \sum_{n \ge 1} P_z(T_y = n) P_{xz} = \sum_{z \ne y} P_{xz} \rho_{zy}$$

since the inner summation in the middle term is precisely the probability of eventually reaching y from z. In the above, the iterated sums are not necessarily finite and therefore not directly interchangeable. As before, using Fubini's theorem gives the necessary interchange.